

Many fear that Pakistan will run a huge bilateral trade deficit in case of normal trade with India. Even if this is true, it is estimated Pakistan's aggregate trade deficit will reduce by \$1 billion as a wide array of industrial raw materials and machinery imported from the West or East Asia will then be imported from India, where not only the unit costs of these products remain lower, but it's much cheaper to transport them from the neighbour.

The biggest benefit, however, of improved trade relations will be of investment inflows from India into investment-starved Pakistan. Take the example of Thar coal. One reason for the slow pace of its development is the prohibitive sunk cost in translating the natural resource into marketable electricity production. If India will also benefit from the development of this facility, it will have an

incentive in investing, both financially and technologically, in getting electricity production off the ground from this facility. Net gains in a dynamic sense from normalising trade and investment relations with the giant neighbour, many of us love to hate, are boundless. If economic benefits from trade with India are so immense, it is pertinent to ask why Pakistan did
not avail this opportunity for so long? Geo-political rivalry lies at the centre of this lost opportunity. However, up until the 1990s, the prevalence of protectionist policy – the concept of developing local industry behind high tariff walls – also created a business elite that did not wish to compete with the other more powerful economic entities. And this was the dominant framework in both countries – perhaps more in India than in Pakistan. But after trade and economic liberalisation in the 1990s, where countries freely trade with each other under global rules – formalised by the WTO – there is no basis for discriminating against India. After all, India cannot damage Pakistan's industry
or economy more than other powerful trading partners such as the United States, Germany or China.
This thinking has gradually seeped into Pakistan's business community. Most of the regional chambers of commerce and the Federation of Pakistan Chambers of Commerce and Industry as well as the Pakistan Business Council (PBC) endorse trade with India. More importantly, Pakistan's five largest political parties in terms of vote share – the Pakistan Peoples' Party, the two major factions of Pakistan Muslim League Nawaz and Quaid-e-Azam, the Awami National Party and the Muttahida Qaumi Movement – endorsed normalisation of trade with India on April 29, 2011 at a forum organised by the PBC.
by the PBC. This essentially leaves the religious parties and the armed forces as the only protagonists objecting to normalising trade ties with India, based on historical geo-political adversity between the two countries. It needs to be underscored, however, that territorial disputes have not prevented other countries from trading with each other. China trades with India as well as Taiwan without compromising on its territorial claims. Pakistan can also keep its geo-political disputes alive and still trade with India. Normalised trade relations will help prevent armed conflict between the two states. It has been said that Pakistan's recent commitment to grant India the MFN status came following its 'clearance' with 'all relevant stakeholders'. In all probability this means that this clearance has come from the military. Nothing can be said for certain, till the agreement gets signed. But if this is the case, then we may have turned an important leaf in Pakistan's statecraft also. As noted security analyst Dr Ayesha Siddiga recently wrote that this will mean that Pakistan can move towards
creating a new form of 'strategic depth' by means of securing its economy and delivering prosperity to its people. Let's wait and see. The writer is Director,
Collective for Social Science Research.
Back Industry: The News - Daily Jang   Community   Greetings   Tariff   Advertising   Contact Us   Comments